

## Quantitative Risk Management

**Important:**

- Put your student card on the table
- Begin each exercise on a new sheet of paper, and write your name on each sheet
- Only pen, paper and ten sides of summary are allowed

Please fill in the following table.

<b>Last name</b>	
<b>First name</b>	
<b>Student number (if available)</b>	

Please do not fill in the following table.

<b>Question</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Control</b>	<b>Maximum</b>
#1			11
#2			10
#3			8
#4			11
#5			10
<b>Total</b>			50



**Question 1** (11 Pts)

a) Let  $X$  be a random variable with cdf

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

for parameters  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Calculate  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$  and  $\text{ES}_\alpha(X)$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . (3 Pts)

b) Denote by  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  the space of all square-integrable random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Which axioms of coherence does the mapping  $\rho: L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$\rho(X) := \text{sd}(X) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]},$$

satisfy? Please, prove your statements. (4 Pts)

c) Construct a two-dimensional random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  such that

- (i)  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  for  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X_1 + X_2) = \text{VaR}_\alpha(X_1) + \text{VaR}_\alpha(X_2)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . (4 Pts)

**Solution 1**

a) In order to compute  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$ , we simply invert the cdf and obtain

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(X) = q_X(\alpha) = \mu + \sigma \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right).$$

As for  $\text{AVaR}_\alpha(X)$ , we note that since  $X$  is continuously distributed, we have

$$\text{ES}_\alpha(X) = \text{AVaR}_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 \text{VaR}_u(X) du = \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 \log\left(\frac{u}{1-u}\right) du.$$

Changing the variable to  $z = \frac{u}{1-u}$  and subsequently applying the integration by parts formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ES}_\alpha(X) &= \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 \log(z) \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} dz \\ &= \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left( \left[ -\log(z) \frac{1}{1+z} \right]_{z=\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}^\infty + \int_{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}^\infty \frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{1+z} dz \right) \\ &= \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left( (1-\alpha) \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) + \left[ \log\left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right) \right]_{z=\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}^\infty \right) \\ &= \mu + \sigma \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left( (1-\alpha) \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) - \log(\alpha) \right) \\ &= \mu + \sigma \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right) - \sigma \frac{\log(\alpha)}{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

b) Let and  $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$\text{sd}(\lambda X) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\lambda X)} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 \text{Var}(X)} = \lambda \text{sd}(X),$$

so standard deviation is positive homogeneous. Now, let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have that

$$\text{sd}(X + m) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X + m)} = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)} = \text{sd}(X),$$

so standard deviation is not translation invariant. Since

$$\rho(X, Y) \leq 1,$$

by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sd}(X + Y) &= \sqrt{\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2 \text{Cov}(X, Y)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\rho(X, Y)\text{sd}(X)\text{sd}(Y)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\text{sd}(X)\text{sd}(Y)} = \sqrt{(\text{sd}(X) + \text{sd}(Y))^2} \\ &= \text{sd}(X) + \text{sd}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

So standard deviation is subadditive. Lastly, let  $X \sim \text{Unif}(a, b)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Unif}(c, d)$  for some  $a < b < c < d$ , which guarantees that  $X \leq Y$  a.s. But it is obvious that if  $b - a \geq d - c$ , then will have  $\text{sd}(X) \geq \text{sd}(Y)$ , which disproves the monotonicity of standard deviation. In summary, standard deviation is positive homogeneous and subadditive, but not translation invariant and monotone.

- c) The most direct solution is as follows. Let  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and let  $q_i(u) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \log(1 - u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since,  $q_i$  is the quantile function of  $\text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  distribution, we have by the quantile transformation lemma that  $q_i(U) \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$ . Setting  $X_i = q_i(U)$ , we have  $X_1 + X_2 = q_1(U) + q_2(U) = (q_1 + q_2)(U)$ . The quantile transformation then implies that  $q_1 + q_2$  is the quantile function of  $X_1 + X_2$ . We thus have by the definition of VaR that

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(X_1 + X_2) = (q_1 + q_2)(\alpha) = q_1(\alpha) + q_2(\alpha) = \text{VaR}_\alpha(X_1) + \text{VaR}_\alpha(X_2).$$

Alternatively, we know from the lecture that VaR is comonotone additive, so the copula of  $(X_1, X_2)$  needs to be the comonotonicity copula  $M(u, v) = \min\{u, v\}$ . We also know from the lecture that  $M$  is the copula of the random vector  $(U, U)$ , where  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ . Since the quantile function of  $\text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  distribution is given by  $q_i(u) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \log(1 - u)$ , we can set

$$(X_1, X_2) := \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \log(1 - U), -\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \log(1 - U) \right).$$

We then have that  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  by the quantile transformation lemma, and the comonotonicity of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  follows from the invariance of copulas under strictly increasing transformations.

Lastly, one could describe the random vector by its distribution. Denoting the marginal cdfs of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  by  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  respectively, it follows from Sklar's theorem that the cdf  $F$  of  $(X_1, X_2)$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, x_2) &= M(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2)) = \min\{1 - \exp(-\lambda_1 x_1), 1 - \exp(-\lambda_2 x_2)\} \\ &= 1 - \max\{\exp(-\lambda_1 x_1), \exp(-\lambda_2 x_2)\} = 1 - \exp(-\min\{\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2\}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 > 0$ .

## Question 2 (10 Pts)

- a) Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional random vector with a  $t_d(\nu, 0, \Sigma)$ -distribution for  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\nu > 0$  and a positive definite  $d \times d$ -matrix  $\Sigma$ . Are the components of  $X$  exchangeable? (3 Pts)
- b) Let  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$  for some  $d \geq 2$ . Show that all univariate marginal distributions of  $X$  are equal. (3 Pts)

- c) Denote by  $\mathbb{S}_+^2$  the set of all positive semidefinite symmetric  $2 \times 2$ -matrices, and let  $X$  be a two-dimensional random vector with a  $N_2(\mu, \Sigma)$ -distribution for a fixed mean vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and a covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  in the set

$$S = \left\{ \Sigma \in \mathbb{S}_+^2 : \Sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \underline{\rho} \leq \frac{\Sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \leq \bar{\rho} \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $-1 \leq \underline{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho} \leq 1$  are given constants. The set  $S$  models correlation uncertainty between the components of  $X$ . Consider a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and a probability level  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ . Compute the worst-case value-at-risk

$$\sup_{\Sigma \in S} \text{VaR}_\alpha \left( - \sum_{i=1}^2 w_i X_i \right)$$

of the portfolio loss  $-\sum_{i=1}^2 w_i X_i$ . (4 Pts)

## Solution 2

- a) We say that a random vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is exchangeable if

$$(X_1, \dots, X_d) \stackrel{(d)}{=} (X_{\pi(1)}, \dots, X_{\pi(d)})$$

for any permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ . Since every permutation of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  can be represented by a  $d \times d$ -matrix  $P$  with

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\pi(i)=j\}}$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and since we know from the lecture that  $t$  distribution is (as a normal variance mixture) closed under affine transformations, we have that  $Y := PX \sim t_d(\nu, 0, P\Sigma P^\top)$ . It is therefore enough to find a positive definite  $d \times d$ -matrix  $\Sigma$ , such that  $P\Sigma P^\top \neq \Sigma$ . Without loss of generality, take  $\Sigma$  diagonal with  $\Sigma_{11} > \Sigma_{22}$  and  $P$  a permutation matrix corresponding to a permutation that swaps the first and second component of  $X$  (that is a matrix obtained by swapping the first and the second row of the  $d \times d$  identity matrix). In that case it follows that  $(P\Sigma P^\top)_{11} = \Sigma_{22}$  and  $(P\Sigma P^\top)_{22} = \Sigma_{11}$ , that is,  $P\Sigma P^\top \neq \Sigma$ .

Alternatively, assuming  $\nu > 2$  so that  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ , we know from the class that

$$\text{Cov}(X) = \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \Sigma.$$

Considering again a permutation that only swaps  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we get that  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \text{Var}(X_j) = \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \Sigma_{jj}$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_j) = \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \Sigma_{ii}$  such that if  $\Sigma_{ii} \neq \Sigma_{jj}$ , the marginal distributions of  $X$  and  $Y$  differ, which means that we cannot have equality in distribution of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

- b) Let  $1_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for a  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  denote a vector whose components are all 0 except for the  $j$ -th component that is equal to 1. Then the  $j$ -th margin of  $X$  is given by  $X_j = 1_j^\top X$ . Let us show that the characteristic function of  $X_j$  is independent of  $j$ . Since we know that  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$ , we know from the lecture that the characteristic function  $\phi_X: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of  $X$  is given by

$$\phi_X(u) = \psi(\|u\|^2).$$

Analogously to before, let  $u_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$  denote a vector whose components are all 0 except for the  $j$ -th component that is equal to  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . We then have that

$$\phi_{X_j}(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( i u 1_j^\top X \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( i u_j^\top X \right) \right] = \phi_X(u_j) = \psi(\|u_j\|^2) = \psi(u^2),$$

which is what we wanted to show. Since the characteristic function of a random variable uniquely determines its distribution, we are done.

Alternatively, this can be proved by proving the contraposition, that is proving that if all univariate margins of  $X$  are not the same, then there exists no characteristic generator  $\psi$  such that  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that

$$X_2 \stackrel{(d)}{=} X_3 \stackrel{(d)}{=} \dots \stackrel{(d)}{=} X_d \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 \stackrel{(d)}{\neq} X_2.$$

Then for any permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $\pi(1) \neq 1$  we have that

$$(X_1, \dots, X_d) \stackrel{(d)}{\neq} (X_{\pi(1)}, \dots, X_{\pi(d)})$$

because if the marginal distributions are not the same, the joint distribution cannot be either. But since  $\pi$  can be represented by an orthogonal matrix  $P_\pi$ , we have found an orthogonal matrix  $P_\pi$  such that

$$P_\pi X \stackrel{(d)}{\neq} X,$$

which means that there exists no characteristic generator  $\psi$  such that  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$ .

The simplest way to do this, however, is directly. Let  $X \sim S_d(\psi)$ . Then we have  $UX = X$  in distribution for any orthogonal  $d \times d$ -matrix  $U$ . Since every permutation matrix is orthogonal, considering the permutation matrix  $P$  which swaps the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th element, we must clearly have  $X_i = X_j$  in distribution since  $PX = X$  in distribution. This can be repeated for every pair of the components of  $X$ .

- c) Since we know that  $X \sim N_2(\mu, \Sigma)$  for some  $\Sigma \in S$ , we know from the class that  $-w^\top X \sim N(-w^\top \mu, w^\top \Sigma w)$ . We thus have

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(-w^\top X) = -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w^\top \Sigma w} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2w_1 w_2 \Sigma_{12}} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha),$$

where  $\Phi^{-1}$  is the quantile function of  $N(0, 1)$ . Because of the above, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\Sigma \in S} \text{VaR}_\alpha(-w^\top X) &= \sup_{\underline{\rho} \leq \rho \leq \bar{\rho}} \left\{ -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2w_1 w_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \right\} \\ &= -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2w_1 w_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sup_{\underline{\rho} \leq \rho \leq \bar{\rho}} \{\rho\}} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \\ &= -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + w_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2w_1 w_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \bar{\rho}} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \\ &= -w^\top \mu + \sqrt{w^\top \bar{\Sigma} w} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = \text{VaR}_\alpha(-w^\top Y), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses standard properties of the supremum (the function  $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$  is increasing and  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) > 0$  since we assume  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ ),  $\bar{\Sigma}$  is a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with  $\bar{\Sigma}_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\bar{\Sigma}_{12} = \bar{\Sigma}_{21} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \bar{\rho}$  and  $Y \sim N_2(\mu, \bar{\Sigma})$ . That is, the worst-case value-at-risk is in this case attained when the correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are as large as the uncertainty set  $S$  allows.

### Question 3 (8 Pts)

- a) Compute the lower tail dependence coefficient  $\lambda_l$  of the two-dimensional copulas  $W(u, v) = (u + v - 1)^+$  and  $M(u, v) = \min\{u, v\}$ . (3 Pts)

- b) Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  be a two-dimensional random vector with joint distribution given by the cdf

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \exp\left(-(-x_1 - x_2)^{1/\beta}\right) \quad \text{for } x_1, x_2 \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \geq 1.$$

Calculate the marginal distributions and the copula of  $(X_1, X_2)$ . (5 Pts)

### Solution 3

- a) We know from the lecture that

$$\lambda_l = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{C(\alpha, \alpha)}{\alpha},$$

so using the fact that  $(2\alpha - 1)^+ = 0$  for all  $\alpha \leq 0.5$  we obtain

$$\lambda_l(M) = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{\min\{\alpha, \alpha\}}{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_l(W) = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{(2\alpha - 1)^+}{\alpha} = 0.$$

- b) We first compute the marginal cdfs  $F_{X_1}$  and  $F_{X_2}$  of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , respectively. The marginal distributions are easily computed as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_1}(x_1) &= F(x_1, \infty) = F(x_1, 0) = \exp\left(-(-x_1)^{1/\beta}\right), \\ F_{X_2}(x_2) &= F(\infty, x_2) = F(0, x_2) = \exp\left(-(-x_2)^{1/\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We now want to use Sklar's theorem, which states that we can compute the copula of  $X$  as  $C(u, v) = F(q_{X_1}(u), q_{X_2}(v))$ . We thus need to compute the quantile function of  $X_1$  (the margins are identical). By inverting  $F_{X_1}$ , we get that

$$q_{X_1}(u) = q_{X_2}(u) = -(-\log(u))^\beta.$$

The copula is therefore given by

$$C(u, v) = \exp\left(-\left((- \log(u))^\beta + (- \log(v))^\beta\right)^{1/\beta}\right),$$

which is the Gumbel copula.

### Question 4 (11 Pts)

Let  $X$  be a random variable with cdf

$$F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}, \quad x \geq 1,$$

for a parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .

- a) Does  $X$  have a density? If yes, derive it. (1 Pts)
- b) Find all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] < \infty$ . (2 Pts)
- c) Does  $F$  belong to  $\text{MDA}(H_\xi)$  for a generalized extreme value distribution  $H_\xi$ ? If yes, what is  $\xi$  and what are the normalizing sequences? (3 Pts)
- d) Calculate the excess distribution function  $F_u(x) = \mathbb{P}[X - u \leq x \mid X > u]$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . (2 Pts)

- e) Does there exist a parameter  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and a positive measurable function  $\beta$  such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x > 0} |F_u(x) - G_{\xi, \beta(u)}(x)| = 0,$$

where  $G_{\xi, \beta}$  is a generalized Pareto distribution? If yes, what are  $\xi$  and  $\beta$ ? (3 Pts)

#### Solution 4

- a) The density exists and can be computed as follows.

$$f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x) = \alpha x^{-\alpha-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{x \geq 1\}}.$$

- b) Using the density from the previous exercise, we directly compute

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] = \mathbb{E}[X^k] = \int_1^\infty x^k f_X(x) dx = \int_1^\infty \alpha x^{k-\alpha-1} dx.$$

The above integral converges if and only if

$$k - \alpha - 1 < -1 \iff k < \alpha,$$

so  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] < \infty$  for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $k < \alpha$ .

Alternatively, we could use the fact that  $F_X$  is in the MDA of Fréchet distribution with parameter  $\xi = 1/\alpha$  (this will be shown in the solution to next exercise), which then gives by a result that we have seen in the class that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] < \infty \iff k < 1/\xi = \alpha$ .

- c) It can be seen from the previous exercise that the tail of the given distribution decays like a power and also that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|^k] < \infty \iff k < \alpha$ . We would thus expect  $F_X \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$  for  $\xi = 1/\alpha > 0$ , that is the Fréchet distribution. This observation helps with constructing the normalizing sequences as

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1/\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1/\alpha}.$$

We then have for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $c_n x + d_n > 1$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$F_X^n(c_n x + d_n) = (1 - (c_n x + d_n)^{-\alpha})^n = \left(1 - \frac{(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}x)^{-\alpha}}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow \exp\left(-\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}x\right)^{-\alpha}\right)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For any other  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_n x + d_n \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq N$ , which means that  $F_X^n(c_n x + d_n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq N$  and therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_X^n(c_n x + d_n) = 0$ . With our choice of sequences this means that we need for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1/\alpha} x + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-1/\alpha} > 1 \iff \frac{1}{\alpha} x + 1 > \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha} \iff x > -\alpha + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

This clearly holds for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x > -\alpha$ . So the limiting cdf is indeed that of GEV distribution with parameter  $\xi = 1/\alpha$ .

- d) As seen in the lecture, we have that

$$F_u(x) = \mathbb{P}[X - u \leq x | X > u] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[u < X \leq x + u]}{\mathbb{P}[X > u]} = \frac{F_X(x + u) - F_X(u)}{1 - F_X(u)},$$

which leads to

$$F_u(x) = \frac{1 - (x + u)^{-\alpha} - 1 + u^{-\alpha}}{u^{-\alpha}} = 1 - \frac{(x + u)^{-\alpha}}{u^{-\alpha}} = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{x}{u}\right)^{-\alpha}.$$



e) Pickands–Balkema–de Haan theorem gives us that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \geq 1} |F_u(x) - G_{\xi, \beta(u)}(x)| = 0 \quad (1)$$

if and only if  $F_X \in \text{MDA}(H_\xi)$ . We have shown that  $F_X \in \text{MDA}(H_{1/\alpha})$ , thus (1) holds for  $\xi = 1/\alpha$  and for some measurable function  $\beta: [1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  yet to be determined. We have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x > 0} |F_u(x) - G_{1/\alpha, \beta(u)}(x)| = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x > 0} \left| \left(1 + \frac{x}{\alpha \beta(u)}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(1 + \frac{x}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} \right|.$$

Picking  $\beta(u) = \frac{u}{\alpha}$ , we clearly obtain

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x > 0} |F_u(x) - G_{1/\alpha, \beta(u)}(x)| = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x > 0} \left| \left(1 + \frac{x}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} - \left(1 + \frac{x}{u}\right)^{-\alpha} \right| = 0.$$

So we can indeed take  $\beta(u) = \frac{u}{\alpha}$ .

### Question 5 (10 Pts)

- a) Assuming that you can simulate  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and  $Z \sim N_d(0, I_d)$ , where  $I_d$  denotes the  $d$ -dimensional identity matrix, describe an algorithm for simulating  $X \sim M_d(\mu, \Sigma, \hat{F}_W)$ . (3 Pts)
- b) Name three methods for estimating a copula  $C$  from data. (3 Pts)
- c) Explain what principal component analysis is. (4 Pts)

### Solution 5

- a) Let  $q_W$  denote a quantile function of the random variable  $W$ .
  - (1) Simulate  $Z \sim N_d(0, I_d)$ ;
  - (2) Simulate  $W$  independent from  $Z$  by simulating  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and setting  $W = q_W(U)$ ;
  - (3) Compute the Cholesky decomposition  $\Sigma = AA^\top$ ;
  - (4) Return  $X = \mu + \sqrt{W}AZ$ .
- b) Method of moments using rank correlation, maximum likelihood estimation, inference functions for margins estimator, maximum pseudo-likelihood estimator, non-parametric estimator by forming a pseudo-sample from the copula.
- c) The goal of PCA is dimension reduction. The main idea is that if  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  almost lie in a lower dimensional subspace  $A$  with  $\dim(A) = p < d$ , then the projections of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  onto  $A$  constitute a good approximation of the original observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and we can effectively work in a  $p$ -dimensional setting without losing much information.

PCA works as follows. Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random vector with  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$  and denote  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$  and  $\Sigma = \text{Cov}(X)$ .  $\Sigma$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite; we can write  $\Sigma = U\Lambda U^\top$ , where  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  that are, without loss of generality, ordered so that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$  and  $U$  is an orthogonal matrix whose columns are given by the eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$  in the order corresponding to the

order of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  in the matrix  $\Lambda$ . One then defines the principal component transform  $Y = U^\top (X - \mu)$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = U^\top \mathbb{E}[X] - U^\top \mu = 0$$

and

$$\text{Cov}(Y) = U^\top \text{Cov}(X - \mu) U = U^\top \Sigma U = U^\top U \Lambda U^\top U = \Lambda,$$

where the last equality follows from the orthogonality of  $U$ . The above means that the components  $Y_1, \dots, Y_d$  of  $Y$  are uncorrelated and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = \lambda_i$ . If we therefore have that  $\lambda_i$  is low for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , it means that if “drop them”, we can reduce the dimension of  $X$  without much loss of information.